IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE In Re Application of: Jorgen FENGER Art Unit: 3634 Application No.: 09/830,698 Examiner: K. H. TRAN Filed: August 1, 2001 Confirmation No. 1813 For: CARYYING DEVICE FOR BOX-SHAPED ITEMS Atty.'s Docket: FENGER=1 Washington, D.C. Customer Window, Mail Stop Amendment Date: January 13, 2005 Honorable Commissioner for Patents U.S. Patent and Trademark Office 2011 South Clark Place Crystal Plaza Two, Lobby, Room 1B03 Arlington, Virginia 22202 Sir: Transmitted herewith is a [] Amendment [XX] RESPONSE in the above-identified application.] Small Entity Status: Applicant(s) claim small entity status. See 37 C.F.R. §1.27. A verified statement to establish small entity status under 37 CFR 1.9 and 1.27 is enclosed. No additional fee is required. The fee has been calculated as shown below: OTHER THAN SMALL ENTITY SMALL ENTITY (Col. 2) (Col. 3) (Col. 1) **CLAIMS** HIGHEST NO. PRESENT RATE **ADDITIONAL** OR **ADDITIONAL** RATE REMAINING **PREVIOUSLY EXTRA** FEE FEE **AFTER** PAID FOR **EQUALS** AMENDMENT TOTAL MINUS 30 0 \$ 18 \$ MINUS \$ INDEP 3 0 43 \$ 86 FIRST PRESENTATION OF MULTIPLE DEP. CLAIM 145 \$ 290 \$ ADDITIONAL FEE TOTAL OR \$ TOTAL \$ If the entry in Col. 1 is less than the entry in Col. 2, write "0" in Col. 3. If the "Highest Number Previously Paid for" IN THIS SPACE is less than 20, write "20" in this space. If the "Highest Number Previously Paid for" IN THIS SPACE is less than 3, write "3" in this space. The "Highest Number Previously Paid For" (total or independent) is the highest number found from the equivalent box in Col. 1 of a prior amendment of the number of claims originally filed. [XX] Conditional Petition for Extension of Time If any extension of time for a response is required, applicant requests that this be considered a petition therefor. [] It is hereby petitioned for an extension of time in accordance with 37 CFR 1.136(a). The appropriate fee required by 37 CFR 1.17 is calculated as shown below: Small Entity Other Than Small Entity Response Filed Within Response Filed Within [] - \$ 55.00 1 110.00 Second \$ 210.00 Second \$ 420.00 1 1 \$ 475.00 950.00 Third Fourth \$ 740.00 Fourth \$ 1480.00 1 [1

A check in the amount of \$_______ is attached (check no.).

The Commissioner is hereby authorized and requested to charge any additional fees which may be required in connection with this application or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-4035. This authorization and request is not limited to payment of all fees associated with this communication, including any Extension of Time fee, not covered by check or specific authorization, but is also intended to include all fees for the presentation of extra claims under 37 CFR §1.16 and all patent processing fees under 37 CFR §1.17 throughout the prosecution of the case. This blanket authorization does not include patent issue fees

Month After Time Period Set

BROWDY AND NEIMARK

Attorneys for Applicant(s)

Facsimile: (202) 737-3528 Telephone: (202) 628-5197

under 37 CFR §1.18.

Month After Time Period Set

[] Less fees (\$_____) already paid for ___ month(s) extension of time on

Credit Card Payment Form, PTO-2038, is attached, authorizing payment in the amount of \$_

] Please charge my Deposit Account No. 02-4035 in the amount of \$_

By: Norman J. Latker Registration No. 19,963



THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

FENGER=1

ATTY.'S DOCKET: In re Application of: Art Unit: 3634 Examiner: K.H. Tran Jorgen FENGER Washington, D.C. Appln. No.: 09/830,698 Date Filed: August 1, 2001) Confirmation No.: 1813 January 13, 2005 For: CARRYING DEVICE FOR BOX-SHAPED ITEMS

RESPONSE

Customer Window, Mail Stop Amendment Honorable Commissioner for Patents U.S. Patent and Trademark Office 2011 South Clark Place Crystal Plaza Two, Lobby, Room 1B03 Arlington, Virginia 22202

Sir:

This is in response to the Examiner's December 13, 2004, Office Communication maintaining that Applicant's August 4, 2004, reply is not fully responsive to the Examiner's May 4, 2004, Office Action. The Examiner continues by indicating that Applicant has failed to argue each and every rejection, namely those rejections under 35 U.S.C. 103 including arguments directed towards the combination of references, i.e.:

(a) U.S. patent 6,193,336 (Jencka) in view of U.S. patent 5,502,564 (Zuzack) and